

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,967	07/28/2003	Carlo D. Montemagno	Montemagno I	4077 .
7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
George M. Cooper			FORTUNA, ANA M	
Jones, Tullar & Cooper, P.C.				
P.O. Box 2266 Eads Station			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202			1723	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,967	MONTEMAGNO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-8 and 10-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10-30 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are unclear as to whether the "matrix" is a "tri-block copolymer, as claimed in claim 1, or a single polymer matrix as can be interpreted from claims 10 and 16.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 11, 12, 21, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Discher et al (US 6,835,394) (hereinafter Discher).

Discher discloses the membrane structure of claim 1 comprising a tri-block copolymer matrix with a biological protein incorporated into the matrix forming a membrane protein composite (abstract, Fig. 1, column 1, lines 12-35, column 4, lines 35-68, column 5, lines 1-13, 45-51, column 11, second paragraph, column 19, second paragraph, and column 29, Example 3).

Regarding claims 2-3, the membrane composite (polymersome) of Discher constitutes a composite device, which inherently function depending on the encapsulated protein. Or substance. The function of the membrane e.g. protein/tri-block copolymer, is also disclosed (see column 4, lines 53-64) e.g. optical sensors, and (see column 6, lines 1-6), e.g. valves

The composite (copolymer/protein), having water permeability properties is also disclosed (see column 20, lines 38-59), the fore the composite can be inherently considered a water filter.

Natural or biological proteins, as claimed in claim 11 are also disclosed in the above reference (see column 30, lines 17-19). The inclusion of more than one protein e.g. at least one protein or protein fragments as claimed in claims 12 and 29 is disclosed (see column 32, lines 25-37, and column 19, lines 43-49).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Discher et al (US 6,835,394) (hereinafter Discher).

Discher, discussed above as applied to claims 1 and 26 fails to disclose the composite as "organic inorganic power source", however teaches the composition including the triblock copolymer and proteins embedded in the polymeric matrix. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/627,967 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Discher with a desired function or use, e.g. by selecting a protein to perform a desire function, based on Discher suggestion to use synthetic or non-synthetic proteins (see column 30, second paragraph).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18-20, 22-25, 30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Claims 10 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: "tri-block copolymer" including polyvinyl alcohol, and acrylamide are not suggested in Discher et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M. Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,967

Art Unit: 1723

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana M Fortuna
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

AF

December 16, 2005